# WILLIAM CHASTEEN AND MARGARET GARRISON.

Copy of the findings of the Court of Claims in the cases of the followingnamed persons against the United States: William Chasteen and Margaret Garrison.

JANUARY 9, 1894 .- Referred to the Committee on War Claims and ordered to be printed.

> COURT OF CLAIMS, CLERK'S OFFICE, Washington, January 8, 1894.

SIR: Pursuant to the order of the court I transmit herewith a certified copy of the findings filed by the court in each of the aforesaid causes, which cases were referred to this court by the Committee on War Claims, House of Representatives, under the act of March 3, 1883.

I am, very respectfully, yours, etc.,

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

[Court of Claims. Congressional, No. 3346. William Chasteen vs. The United States.]

This case being a claim for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, the court, on a preliminary inquiry, finds that William Chasteen, the person alleged to have furnished such supplies or stores, or from whom the same are alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

BY THE COURT.

Filed May 18, 1893.

[Court of Claims. No. 3346. William Chasteen v. United States.]

## STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim in the above-entitled case for supplies or stores, alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, was transmitted to the court by the Committee on War Claims of the House of Representatives on the 30th day of March, 1888.

Clarence A. Brandenburg, esq., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by F. P. Dewees, esq., his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

On a preliminary inquiry the court, on the 18th day of May, 1893, found that the person alleged to have furnished the supplies or stores, or from whom they were alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

The case was brought to a hearing on its merits on the 8th day of June, 1893. The claimant in his petition makes the following allegations:

I.

That during the late war of the rebellion he resided in Carroll County, in the State of Georgia. II.

That during said period, to-wit, on or about the 13th day of July, 1864, there was taken from him by the military forces of the United States under the command of Gen. Stoneman, in the county and State aforesaid, 2 mules of the value of \$200 each, aggregating in value \$400.

The court, upon the evidence, and after considering the briefs and arguments of

counsel on both sides, makes the following

#### FINDING OF FACT:

There was taken from the claimant in Carroll County, Ga., in July, 1864, by the military authorities of the United States, for the use of the Army, 2 mules, the reasonable value of which at the time and place of taking was \$280. BY THE COURT.

Filed January 2, 1894.

A true copy. Test this 8th day of January, A. D. 1894.

[SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH. Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

[Court of Claims. Congressional, No. 6583. Margaret Garrison v. The United States.]

This case being a claim for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, the court, on a preliminary inquiry, finds that Margaret Garrison, the person alleged to have furnished such supplies or stores, or from whom the same are alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

BY THE COURT.

Filed February 3, 1891.

[Court of Claims. Congressional Case No. 6583. Margaret Garrison v. The United States.]

#### STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim in the above-entitled case for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion was transmitted to the court by the Committee on War Claims of the House of Representatives on the 19th day of October, 1888.

On a preliminary inquiry the court, on the 3d day of February, 1891, found that the person alleged to have furnished the supplies or stores, or from whom they were alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

The case was brought to a hearing on its merits on the 8th day of June, 1893. Clarence A. Brandenburg, esq., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by J. H. Nixon, esq., his assistant, and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The claimant, in her petition, makes the following allegations:
That she is a citizen of the United States, residing in Atlanta, State of Georgia,
where she resided during the late war of the rebellion, and that during said war the millitary forces of the United States, by proper authority, took from her the following property, to wit:

A new four-room house taken and torn down by the soldiers belonging to Gen. W. T. Sherman's command and converted into quarters for the use of said army; also a smokehouse taken and torn down by the same soldiers and used for a similar pur-

pose, valued at \$1,200.

The court, upon the evidence and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, makes the following

### FINDINGS OF FACT.

The claimant and her children were the beneficiaries under a deed of trust of a lot in Atlanta, Ga., in September, 1864. The house thereon was built by the claimant with money given to her by her brother, the grantor in the deed. By order of Gen. Sherman the buildings were taken and the materials used to build military barracks. The value of the lumber in claimant's dwelling, outhouse, and fences was \$600. Neither her trustee nor the children have preferred a claim for these buildings.

BY THE COURT.

Filed. A true copy. Test, this 8th day of January, A. D. 1894. [SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

0